

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/719,354	11/21/2003	William C. Maloney	K047 1140.2 3324	
75	90 10/18/2005		EXAM	INER
MARK A. TIDWELL			TRIEU, VAN THANH	
JACKSON WA	LKER L.L.P.			
112 E. PECAN, SUITE 2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78205			2636	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	(K		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,354	MALONEY, WILLIAM C.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Van T Trieu	2636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-760 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-76 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in page 1, the Reference To Related Application section should include the claimed priority application No. 10/180,665 filed on 26 June 2002, now U.S. Patent No. 6,707,381.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 31-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frederick [US 6,788,997].

Regarding claim 31, the claimed an object tracking and control system comprising: a storage unit for receiving a plurality of trackable objects (the cabinet 550,618, 622 or 624 for receiving a plurality of drawers/selves 620, 626, 628, see Figs. 57 and 61-63, abstract, col. 2, lines 22-30); and the plurality of trackable objects removably insertable into said storage unit (the drawers/selves 620, 626, 628 are removeably insertable into the cabinet 618, 622, 624, see Figs. 61-63, col. 3, lines 46-67); and the central controller remotely located from the storage unit (the remote controlled computers 86,

324 and 336, see Figs. 13 and 40, see col. 8, lines 44-64, col. 16, lines 15-55 and col. 48, lines 1-16).

Regarding claim 32, the claimed communication between the storage unit and the central controller, see Figs. 13 and 40.

Regarding claim 33, the claimed communication link is a network connection (network 82 or 328, see Figs. 13 and 40).

Regarding claim 34, the claimed communication link is wireless connection (the wireless communication, see col. 8, lines 44-64).

Regarding claim 35, the claimed communication link is wireless LAN (the wireless communication LAN 82 or 328, see Figs. 13 and 40).

Regarding claim 36, the claimed network connection is the internet, which reads upon the modems for communicating between a plurality of computers 84, 86, 338, 424, 336 and 386 over the LAN networks 82 or 328, see Figs. 13 and 40).

Regarding claim 38, the claimed network connection is LAN (LAN 82 or 328, see Figs. 13 and 40).

Regarding claim 39, the claimed plurality of storage unit (the plurality of refrigerators 450 or storages 550, see Figs. 50, 55 and 57).

Regarding claim 40, the claimed storage unit comprises a local controller (the local control microprocessor 166, see Fig. 4, col. 23, lines 8-23 and col. 24, lines 4-18).

Regarding claim 41, the claimed local controller is located in the storage unit (the microprocessor 166 is located in the circuit board 158 of the storage or the local electronic lock controller 300, see Figs. 4 and 55, col. 27, lines 21-67 and col. 28, lines 1-25).

Regarding claim 42, the claimed local controller is remotely located from the storage unit and remotely located from the central controller (the local display 338, touch screen 340 and keyboard 342 is remotely from the cabinet 344 and the administrator's workstations 336, see Fig. 40, col. 48, lines 13-38).

Regarding claim 43, the claimed local controller has a network connection, see Figs. 13 and 40.

Regarding claim 44, the claimed storage unit comprises a wireless communication device (the device 348 is IR or other wireless types, see Fig. 40, col. 61, lines 47-67 and col. 62, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 45, the claimed local controller in communication with the central controller via the communication link, see Figs. 13 and 40.

Regarding claim 46, the claimed central controller is a control computer (the computer 86 or 336, see Figs. 13 and 40).

Regarding claim 47, the claimed local controller is a local computer (the local controller 300, a local wireless 348 and/or local display 338 with touch screen 340 and keyboard 342, see Fig. 40, see col. 27, lines 66-67).

Regarding claim 48, the claimed storage unit comprises a storage cabinet (the cabinet 550, see Fig. 57).

Regarding claim 49, the claimed each of the trackable objects is associated with an asset to be tracked (the of the drawers/selves 620, 626 and 628 contains medical items, drugs or pills, see Figs. 61-63, col. 36, lines 4-39).

Regarding claim 50, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 31, 41, 45 and 46 above, and including each trackable object having an upper portion and lower portion (the drawer 620, 626, 628 or 638 having upper and lower portion for sliding or inserting into brackets 566 of the cabinet 550 via drawer guide 574, see Figs.

57 and 61-64, col. 35, lines 31-67 and col. 36, lines 1-56); and having an array of sockets, each socket configured to receive the lower portion of a trackable object (the inserting brackets 566 for receiving the bottom portion of the drawer, see Fig. 57, col. 35, lines 31-66); and the local controller is electrical communication with the socket (the sensor 484 electrically connected between the cabinet 450 or 550 for signaling of opening or closing of a particular drawer to the connected computer 84 or device in the system, see Figs. 50, 52 and 57, col. 29, lines 47-52, col. 30, lines 60-67, col. 31, lines 1-67 and col. 32, lines 1-30).

Regarding claim 51, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 36 and 50 above.

Regarding claim 52, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 35 and 50 above.

Regarding claim 53, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 39 and 50 above.

Regarding claim 54, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claim 31 above, and the tangible object (the drawers or selves 620, 626, 628, see Figs. 61-63.

Regarding claim 55, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 31 and 36 above.

Regarding claim 56, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 43, 45 and 55 above, see Figs. 13 and 40.

Regarding claim 57, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claim 55 and 56 above, and including the inventory (the control computer 84 will update to indicate the units of inventory added to each of the storage location, see col. 24, lines 36-38).

Regarding claim 58, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 55 and 56 above, see Figs. 13 and 40.

Regarding claim 59, the method claimed limitations are met by the apparatus claim 31 above.

Regarding claim 60, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 42, 43 and 59 above.

Regarding claim 61, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 43-47 and 59 above.

Regarding claim 62, the claimed transmitting an alarm to the monitoring computer based on the unauthorized removal of an object from the storage unit (the alarm, see col. 27, lines 49-65).

Regarding claim 63, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claim 62 above.

Regarding claim 64 all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 36 and 59 above.

Regarding claim 65, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 35 and 59 above.

Regarding claim 66, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 44 and 64 above.

Regarding claim 67, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 34 and 59 above.

Regarding claim 68, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 62 and 59 above.

Regarding claim 69, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 63 and 59 above.

Regarding claim 70, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 63 and 59 above.

Regarding claim 71, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 46, 57 and 64 above.

Regarding claim 72, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 46, 58 and 64 above.

Regarding claim 73, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 46, 56 and 64 above.

Regarding claim 74, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 62 and 64 above.

Regarding claim 75, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 36 and 71 above.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/719,354

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 76, the method claimed limitations are met by the apparatus claims 31

and 54 above.

Conclusion

3. A new ground of rejection based on the Amendment filed on 25 July 2005 to

cancel all the claims 1-30 and adding a new set of claims 31-75.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

McGrady discloses a system for monitoring and dispensing medical items including a

plurality of hook registers and cabinets with sensors to sense the removal or addition of

a medical item to the storage location over the communication network. [US 6,470,234]

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

examiner should be directed to primary examiner Van Trieu whose telephone number

is (571) 272-2972. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7:00 AM to

3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Jeffery Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981.

&∕an Trieu

Primary Examiner

Date: 10/14/05